(1) C.F-1300/-

2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

W.P.No. 3216 /2005

PETITIONERS :: 1. Lovekush Shukla, aged about 32 years, S/o. Nand Kumar Shukla, Govt. Higher Secondary School Village Rigni, Post Nardha, District Durg (C.G.)

:: 2. Ashok Sahu, aged about 35 years, S/o. Shri J.L.Sahu, Govt. Higher Secondary School, Village Kadari, Post Darang, District Janjgir- Champa (C.G.)

:: 3. Ravishankar Patel, aged about 30 year, S/o. Shri Tularam Patel, Resident of Village Bandhapali, Post Madhopali, Tahsil Sarangarh, District Raigar (C.G.) Govt. Higher Sec. School, Ghautalabade.

:: 4) Manish Sharma, aged about 33 years, S/o. Shri Chandrakant Sharma, Govt. Higher Secondary School -Village Mungasre, Block Bagbahra, Tahsil and District Mahasamund (C.G.)

:: 5) Deepak Patel, aged about 31 years, S/o. Shri Sukalu Ram Patel, Govt. Higher Secondary School Village and Post Javali, Tahsil Katghora, Distt Korba (C.G.).

:: 6) Sunita Yadav, aged about 39 years, D/o. Shri H.R.Yadav, Govt. Higher Secondary School-Simga, District Raipur (C.G.)

:: 7) Tikeshwar Pandey, aged about 28 years, S/o. Shri Ramkumar Panedy, Village Dhimartikur, Post Jhiriya, Tahsil and District Dhamtari (C.G.) Govt. Higher Secondary School Donar

:: 8) Navbharat Kashyap, aged about 28 years, S/o. Shri Ram Sevak Kashyap, Village and Post Sargiguda, Vya Devbhog, District Raipur (C.G.) Govt. Higher Sec. School-Sargiguda, Block Mainpur.

:: 9) Jitendra Sharma, aged bout 31 years, S/o. Shri Laxman Prasad Sharma, Village

P.R. No. 2625/05 dated 15/6/05

15-6-05

4499/05 15/6/05

And Post Barhapur, Tahsil Dhamdha. District Durg (C.G.) Govt. Higher Secondary School Barhapur.

:: 10) Veerbhadra Singh Thakur, aged about 31 years, S/o Shri Charan Singh Thakur, Village Gidarri, Tahsil Dongargaon District Rajnandon.

:: 11) Sitaram Yadav, aged about 32 years, S/o Shri Punitram Yadav, Govt. Higher Secondary School Kauhakuda, Post Ghonch, vhaya Pithora Tahsil and District Mahasamund (C.G.)

:: 12) Smt. Pratima Chandrakar, aged about 31 years, W/o Shri Rajesh Kumar Chandrakar, Govt. Higher Secondary School- Mungaser, Block Bagbahra, District Mahasamund (C.G.)

:: 13) Kumari Mamta Shukla, aged about year, Daughter of Shri Nand Kumar Shukla, Govt. Higher Secondary School Nardha, Block Dhamdha, Tahsil Dhamdha, District Durg (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1) State of Chhattisgar, Through Secretary, Adim Jati Anusuchit Jati, Alpsankhyak Varg and Pichhda Kalyan, DKS Bhavan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)

2) The Secretary, Education Govt. of Chhattisgarh, DKS Bhavan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)

3) Dircetor (Education) Directorate, Raipur (C.G.)

4) The Secretary Panchyat and Social Welfare Department, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)



(3)

4

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPIRATE WRIT/WRITS, DIRECTION/DIRECTIONS AND ORDER/ORDSERS ECT.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3216 सन् 2005

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

3.8.2005

Shri S.C.Verma, counsel for the petitioners.

Shri Bhaskar Pyasi, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.

I.A.No.6229/2005

This is an application for urgent hearing. The matter is taken up on Board.

This interim application stands disposed of.

W.P.No.3216/2005

Heard on admission.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are working in Jan Bhagidari Schools. He further submits that there was a policy of the Government that the Jan Bhagidari Schools existing in the State shall be taken over by the State within a period of 2 years. He also submits that the State has not taken effective steps in this regard so far and the services of the petitioners are still insecured. He refers to the document annexure P-4 which is at page 24 and is a letter issued by the Under Secretary of the State dated 22.3.2004. It indicates that some informations were collected by the Directorate, Lok Shiksha Sanchalanalaya, Raipur for the purpose of said action of the Government.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for the time being this petition may be disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to file a detailed representation before the State Government's competent authority and to raise all their grievances

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

49

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	and the competent authority should be directed to dispose of the said representation within the stipulated period granted by this Court. This prayer is not opposed by the State counsel. The prayer is allowed. This petition is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioners that if so advised they may file a representation before the competent authority of the State Government within a period of 15 days from today and if such a representation is field, the competent authority of the State shall dispose of the same as early as possible preferably within a period of 2 months from the date of filing/receipt of the representation/representations alonglwith a copy of this order and also with a copy of this writ petition. In view of the aforesaid observations, this petition stands finally disposed of. Sd/- Sunil Kumar Sinha Judge	

sunita

(पीछे देखिये)